arXiv:1510.08250v1 [math.LO] 28 Oct 2015

INTEGRATION, UNIFORM IN ALL FIELDS OF p-ADIC NUMBERS

RAF CLUCKERS AND IMMANUEL HALUPCZOK

Abstract. Through a cascade of generalizations of results and definitions, we establish a theory of integration which works uniformly in all p-adic fields, regardless of ramification degrees, that is, for all finite field extensions of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ for all p. The framework is stable under integration and under Fourier transformation and implies various kinds of uniformity for integrals and for exceptional loci. Note that this bears nothing new in the positive characteristic case (which remains mysterious), and neither in the case of large residue field characteristic (which is already well understood).

1. Introduction

1.0.1. Two results inspired us directly to the work of this paper: Theorem E of [1] about wave fronts, and the rationality result from the 1970's by Igusa, see Theorem 8.2.1 of [21] or Theorem (1.3.2) of [16]. Both these results are uniform in all p-adic fields, namely in all finite field extensions of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ for all primes p. This is in contrast to much of the theory of local zeta functions and p-adic integrals which has been developed mainly for large residue field characteristic, and in the case of small residue field characteristic only for bounded ramification. Sometimes these restrictions come from resolution of singularities with good reduction modulo p (see e.g. [18], [15] and Theorems (3.3) and (3.4) of [16]), and sometimes they come from quantifier elimination and model theoretic results (see e.g. [26], [4], [10], [5], [20]). Sometimes however, arbitrary ramification and even positive characteristic local fields can be allowed, usually in situations with some smoothness or smooth models, see e.g. [22], [28], [23], [24].

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14E18; Secondary 03C10, 11S80, 11Q25, 40J99.

Key words and phrases. Motivic integration, motivic Fourier transforms, motivic exponential functions, p-adic integration, non-archimedean geometry, cell decomposition, quantifier elimination.

The authors were supported by the European Research Council under the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) with ERC Grant Agreement nr. 615722 MOTMELSUM, by the Labex CEMPI (ANR-11-LABX-0007-01), and would like to thank Emmanuel Kowalski and both the Forschungsinstitut für Mathematik (FIM) at ETH Zürich and the IHÉS for the hospitality during part of the writing of part of this paper. The author I.H. was supported by the SFB 878 of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

In this paper we remove these restrictions on the quantifier elimination and model theoretic results, and grasp the rewards to the construction of a framework of integration which works uniformly in all p-adic fields, extending recent work from [9], [10] and [5]. This kind of combination of model theory and the study of p-adic integrals originated in [12].

The presented framework removes the bounds on ramification degrees from [10], is stable under Fourier transformation as in [9], and deals with the uniform p-adic (as opposed to motivic) case for the sake of simplicity, while a genuine motivic version in the lines of [10] would also be possible to develop with some more work. In principle this yields several kinds of new uniformities in the behaviour of p-adic integrals and for bad (or exceptional) loci. In the afore-mentioned Theorem 8.2.1 of [21], it is the set of candidate poles and the form of the denominator that is completely uniform over all p-adic fields; in Theorem E of [1] it is the wave front which is included in a Zariski closed set of controlled dimension which is completely uniform over all p-adic fields. These two phenomena should now find a common ground in the uniform treatment of this paper, see Section 4.2 and 4.3.

We proceed by refining and generalizing classical Denef-Pas quantifier elimination results and other model theoretic results from which a cascade of generalizations of results related to p-adic integration follows. This double cascade of generalizations (on the model theory side and on the p-adic integration side) is made possible by Theorem 5.1.2.

1.0.2. Let us describe some examples of uniform behaviour. Recall that definable functions are field-independent descriptions of functions which generalize e.g. polynomial mappings; see Section 2 for precise definitions.

Let $n > 0$ be an integer, and f be a definable function from the n-th Cartesian power of the valuation ring (of any p-adic field), taking values in the value group. In particular, for any p-adic field K, f yields a function $f_K : \mathcal{O}_K^n \to \mathbb{Z}$. Since Denef's results in [12] one knows, if moreover $f_K \geq 0$, that for $s > 0$

$$Z_K(s) := \int_{x \in \mathcal{O}_K^n} q_K^{-sf_K(x)} |dx|$$

is rational in q_K^{-s} where q_K is the number of residue field elements. Moreover Denef [12] showed that the denominator is always of a simple form, namely a finite product of factors of the form

$$1 - q_K^{a+bs}$$

for some integers a and $b \neq 0$, depending on K. The dependence on K under higher and higher ramification remained highly unstudied. By the uniform treatment of this paper, we find that the list of candidate poles is finite, even when K varies over all p-adic fields. More precisely, there is a finite collection of pairs of integers (a_i, b_i) with $b_i \neq 0$, for $i = 1, \ldots, N$ for some N, such that

for any p-adic field K (namely any finite field extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ for any prime p),

$$Z_K(s) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{N}(1 - q_K^{a_i+b_i s})$$

is a polynomial in q_K^{-s}, see Section 4.3.

More generally, we develop a framework of certain classes of functions which is closed under integration, uniformly in all p-adic fields, and which implies the above finiteness of candidate poles. In the case that f is the order of a polynomial over $\mathbb{Q}$, this application was already known to Igusa in the 1970's by resolution of singularities, see Theorem 8.2.1 of [21]. Also, given a definable f, the application was known for large enough residue field characteristic, due to Denef-Pas quantifier elimination (the model theoretic approach) and several variants of Denef's formula for $Z_K(s)$ (the approach with resolution of singularities with good reduction modulo p), see [25] and [16].

We also obtain results of smallness for bad or exceptional loci, see Theorem 4.2.3. It can roughly be described by saying that loci of several kinds of bad behaviour are contained in proper Zariski closed subsets, uniformly in all p-adic fields, roughly as in Theorem E of [1]. Such results occur already often for large enough residue field characteristic so that the new point is again to be completely uniform in all p-adic fields.

1.0.3. In a certain sense, this paper is a continuation of [10], where for small residue field characteristics the authors imposed bounds on ramification, and of [9], where additive characters were treated uniformly in p-adic fields with large residue field characteristic. Also some of the recent results of [5] are extended to the completely uniform setting. The main technical novelties are related to quantifier elimination and a weak form of orthogonality and are postponed until Section 5; all other proofs are more standard adaptations of classical proofs and are given with less details.

2. Uniform p-adic definable sets and functions

We introduce a notion of uniform p-adic definable sets, where the uniformity is in all finite field extensions of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ for all primes p. We are able to control the geometrical difficulties by generalizing the classical results on quantifier elimination, cell decomposition and a property that is called the Jacobian Property. Here, the quantifier elimination is in a language with angular components and allows to eliminate both valued field and value group variables. Although this quantifier elimination is similar in spirit to previously known quantifier elimination results, it is key to build up the right notion of functions that are stable under integration and Fourier transformation, uniformly over all p-adic fields. The novelty lies in the combination of removing valued field and value group variables without restrictions on the ramification degree. The proof consists of replacing a quantifier over the value group VG by a quantifier bounded to

some segment in VG and then replace such a bounded quantifier by a quantifier over a residue ring. (The elimination of valued field quantifiers only is more classical and can be proved in the line of [26], see e.g. [2] and the variants in [27].)

First we give some general definitions about valued fields and a generalization of the Denef-Pas language.

2.1. **Residue rings and angular component maps.** For L a valued field[1] with valuation map ord, write $\mathcal{O}_L := \{x \in L \mid \mathrm{ord}(x) \geq 0\}$ for the valuation ring with maximal ideal $\mathcal{M}_L := \{x \in L \mid \mathrm{ord}_L(x) > 0\}$, residue field $k_L = \mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{M}_L$, and additively written value group Γ_L. Write $\Gamma_L^{(\infty)}$ for the disjoint union $\Gamma_L \cup \{+\infty\}$. For any integer $n > 0$, write

$$L_n$$

for the quotient $\mathcal{O}_L/(n\mathcal{M}_L)$. Write

$$\mathrm{res}_n : L \to L_n$$

for the projection $\mathcal{O}_L \to L_n$ extended by zero outside $\mathcal{O}_L$, and

$$\mathrm{res}_{mn} : L_m \to L_n$$

for the projection map, for positive integers n dividing m.

A collection of maps

$$\mathrm{ac}_n : L \to L_n$$

for integers $n > 0$ are called a compatible system of angular component maps if for each n, ac_n is a multiplicative map from $L^\times$ to $L_n^\times$, extended by zero on zero, such that moreover ac_n coincides with res_n on $\mathcal{O}_L^\times$, and, for n dividing m, the maps ac_n, ac_m, and res_{mn} form a commutative diagram.

Remark 2.1.1. It is important to note that $(n\mathcal{M}_L)$ is the ideal of all nm with $m \in \mathcal{M}_L$, and (usually) not the n-th power of the maximal ideal. The residue ring L_n is different from the residue field if and only if the characteristic of k_L is positive and divides n.

2.2. **The generalized Denef-Pas language.** Consider the many sorted first order language $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{gDP}}$ with sorts VF, VF_n for each integer $n > 0$, and $\mathrm{VG}^{(\infty)}$, and with the following symbols. On VF and on each of the VF_n one has a disjoint copy of the ring language, with symbols

$$+, -, \cdot, 0, 1.$$

[1] A field L together with a surjective map $\mathrm{ord} : L \to \Gamma_L \cup \{+\infty\}$, with $\mathrm{ord}(0) = +\infty$ and with Γ_L an ordered abelian (additively written) group, is called a valued field if $\mathrm{ord}(x+y) \geq \min(\mathrm{ord}\, x, \mathrm{ord}\, y)$ for all x, y in L and if moreover ord restricts to a group homomorphism $L^\times \to \Gamma_L$. By an ordered abelian group we mean an abelian group with a total order and such that $a < b$ implies $a + c < b + c$ for all group elements a, b, c.

On $\mathrm{VG}^{(\infty)}$ one has the constant symbol $+\infty$ and the language of ordered abelian groups

$$+, -, 0, <.$$

Furthermore one has the following function symbols for all positive integers n dividing m:

- $\mathrm{ord} : \mathrm{VF} \to \mathrm{VG}^{(\infty)}$
- $\mathrm{ac}_n : \mathrm{VF} \to \mathrm{VF}_n$.

Let us call the language $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{gDP}}$ the generalized Denef-Pas language.

The generalized Denef-Pas language is designed to study (definable sets in) henselian valued fields L of characteristic zero regardless of ramification degrees.

The new result about this language is that a definitional expansion of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{gDP}}$ yields, regardless of ramification, quantifier elimination in the valued field, and, under some extra conditions, also in the value group, see Theorem 5.1.2. We postpone these technical results on $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{gDP}}$ and first develop the integration theory.

2.3. **Generalized Denef-Pas structures.** A generalized Denef-Pas structure on a valued field L as in Section 2.1 consists of interpretations of all the sorts and the symbols of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{gDP}}$, subject to the following natural conditions.

- The sorts VF, resp. VF_n and $\mathrm{VG}^{(\infty)}$ have as interpretations L, resp. L_n, both with the ring structure, and $\Gamma_L^{(\infty)} = \Gamma_L \cup \{+\infty\}$ with the structure of an ordered abelian group on Γ_L, and the natural meaning for $+\infty$.
- The map ord is the valuation map as in Section 2.1.
- The maps $\mathrm{ac}_n : L \to L_n$ form a compatible system of angular component maps.

We define the $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{gDP}}$-theory gDP to be the theory of the generalized Denef-Pas structures on valued fields L such that moreover L is a henselian valued field of characteristic 0 (and arbitrary residue field characteristic).

2.4. **p-adic fields as generalized Denef-Pas structures.** For now and until the end of Section 4, the only generalized Denef-Pas structures we are interested in are p-adic fields, i.e., finite field extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ for some prime number p.

Let us write Loc^0 for the collection of all p-adic fields K, equipped with a uniformizer ϖ_K[2] for $\mathcal{O}_K$. Such a uniformizer induces a compatible system of angular component maps: the map $\mathrm{ac}_n : K \to K_n$ sends 0 to 0 and any nonzero x to $x\varpi_K^{-\mathrm{ord}\, x} \bmod (n\mathcal{M}_K)$. In this way, we consider fields K in Loc^0 as generalized Denef-Pas structures. Note that any compatible system ac_n on a p-adic field arises in this way from a uniformizer ϖ_K and that vice versa, the maps ac_n determine ϖ_K.

[2]A uniformizer for $\mathcal{O}_K$ is any element in $\mathcal{O}_K$ with minimal positive valuation.

For fields $K \in \mathrm{Loc}^0$, we use the following notations and conventions: Write q_K for the number of elements in the residue field k_K, and p_K for its characteristic. We identify the value group of K with $\mathbb{Z}$, so that ϖ_K has valuation 1.

2.5. **Uniform p-adic definable sets.** We now introduce the notion of definable sets adapted to the class Loc^0 of fields we are interested in, i.e, sets which are $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{gDP}}$-definable uniformly in all p-adic fields $K \in \mathrm{Loc}^0$. Since this is the general framework until the end of Section 4, we will simply call them "definable sets".

A definable set

$$X = (X_K)_{K \in \mathrm{Loc}^0}$$

is a collection of sets such that there is an $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{gDP}}$-formula φ such that

$$X_K = \varphi(K),$$

where $\varphi(K)$ is the definable subset of a Cartesian power of the universes K, K_n, and $\mathbb{Z}$ defined by φ in the sense of model theory.[3]

By abuse of notation, we will use the notation for the sorts $\mathrm{VF}, \ldots$ also for the corresponding definable sets: We write

$$\mathrm{VF}^n \times \prod_{i=1}^{N} \mathrm{VF}_{m_i} \times \mathrm{VG}^r$$

for the definable set $(X_K)_K$ with $X_K = K^n \times \prod_{i=1}^N K_{m_i} \times \mathbb{Z}^r$.

A collection

$$(f_K : X_K \to Y_K)_{K \in \mathrm{Loc}^0}$$

of functions for definable sets $X = (X_K)_K$ and $Y = (Y_K)_K$ is called a definable function if the collection of the graphs is a definable set. We also write

$$f : X \to Y$$

for

$$(f_K : X_K \to Y_K)_K.$$

Consider a definable set X. A point on X (also called a K-rational point on X) is by definition a tuple

$$(x, K)$$

with $K \in \mathrm{Loc}^0$ and $x \in X_K$. The collection of points on a definable set X will be denoted by $|X|_{\mathrm{Loc}^0}$.

[3]Note that, for a definable set $X = (X_K)_K$, each X_K is in fact a subset of some 'affine' coordinate space, as is standard in model theory.

3. Motivic (exponential) functions

In this section we introduce motivic functions and motivic exponential functions in the uniform p-adic context, more precisely, uniform in all $K \in \mathrm{Loc}^0$. In fact, this context may be considered as 'semi-motivic'. It is also possible to use the results of Section 5 to develop a theory of truly motivic functions which can specialize to the uniform p-adic setting as well as to many other settings.

3.1. **Motivic functions.** Let $\mathbb{A}$ be the ring of the following rational functions over $\mathbb{Z}$

$$\mathbb{A} := \mathbb{Z}[q, 1/q, \{\frac{1}{1-q^{-i}} \mid i > 0\}]$$

where q is a formal variable.

Note that any element $a(q) \in \mathbb{A}$ can be evaluated at any real number $q = q_0$ with $q_0 > 1$.

For a definable set X, by a function $f\colon X \to \mathbb{R}$ we mean a tuple $f = (f_K\colon X_K \to \mathbb{C})_{K \in \mathrm{Loc}^0}$. We turn this into a ring using pointwise addition and multiplication, namely,

$$f_1 + f_2 = (f_{1K} + f_{2K} : X_K \to \mathbb{R})_K$$

for $f_1, f_2\colon X_K \to \mathbb{R}$ and, likewise,

$$f_1 \cdot f_2 = (f_{1K} \cdot f_{2K} : X_K \to \mathbb{R})_K.$$

For a definable set X, the ring of motivic functions on X is denoted by $\mathscr{C}(X)$ and is defined as the subring of the real-valued functions $X \to \mathbb{R}$ generated by the following elements:

(1) $a : X \to \mathbb{R}$ for any $a \in \mathbb{A}$, where $a_K(x) := a(q_K)$ for $x \in X_K$ and $K \in \mathrm{Loc}^0$.
(2) $\alpha : X \to \mathbb{R}$ for any VG-valued definable function α, with the obvious meaning for $\alpha_K(x)$ for $x \in X_K$ and $K \in \mathrm{Loc}^0$.
(3) $q^\beta : X \to \mathbb{R}$ for any VG-valued definable function β, with $(q^\beta)_K(x) := q_K^{\beta_K(x)}$ for $x \in X_K$ and $K \in \mathrm{Loc}^0$.
(4) $\#Y : X \to \mathbb{R}$ for any definable subset of Y of $X \times \prod_{t=1}^{\ell} \mathrm{VF}_{n_t}$ for some $\ell \geq 0$ and some $n_t \geq 0$, and where $(\#Y)_K(x) := \#(Y_{K,x})$ for $x \in X_K$ and $K \in \mathrm{Loc}^0$, with $Y_{K,x}$ consisting of y such that (x, y) lies in Y_K.

In other words, a collection of functions

$$f = (f_K : X_K \to \mathbb{R})_{K \in \mathrm{Loc}^0}$$

is motivic if and only if there are $a_i \in \mathbb{A}$, $N \geq 0$, $s_i \geq 0$, $\ell_i \geq 0$, $n_{i,t} \geq 0$, VG-valued definable functions β_i and $\alpha_{i,j}$ on X, and definable subsets Y_i of $X \times \prod_{t=1}^{\ell_i} \mathrm{VF}_{n_{i,t}}$, such that

$$f_K(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i(q_K)(\#Y_{i,K,x}) q_K^{\beta_{i,K}(x)} \prod_{j=1}^{s_i} \alpha_{i,j,K}(x)$$

for all $K \in \mathrm{Loc}^0$ and all $x \in X_K$. Indeed, products of generators of the form other than (2) can be combined.

For fixed $K \in \mathrm{Loc}^0$, these functions in $\mathscr{C}$ were first studied in [17], in a motivic way in [8], and, in a uniform p-adic way, but for large p, in [9]. The notation of $\mathscr{C}(X)$ resembles the one of [8], the difference being that X here is a definable set, and in [8] it is a definable subassignment.

3.2. **Motivic exponential functions.** For any p-adic field $K \in \mathrm{Loc}^0$, write $\mathcal{D}_K$ for the collection of additive characters $\psi : K \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ which are trivial on $\mathcal{M}_K$ and which coincide on $\mathcal{O}_K$ with the character sending $x \in \mathcal{O}_K$ to

$$\exp(\frac{2\pi i}{p_K}\mathrm{Tr}_{k_K}(\mathrm{res}_1\, x)),$$

where Tr_{k_K} is the trace of k_K over its prime subfield and p_K is the characteristic of k_K. Notationally, we treat $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{D}_K)_{K\in\mathrm{Loc}^0}$ in a similar way as definable sets: $\mathcal{D} \times X$ stands for $(\mathcal{D}_K \times X_K)_{K\in\mathrm{Loc}^0}$, and by a function $f : \mathcal{D} \times X \to \mathbb{C}$, we mean a family of functions $(f_K : \mathcal{D}_K \times X_K \to \mathbb{C})_{K\in\mathrm{Loc}^0}$.

For a definable set X, the ring of motivic exponential functions on X is denoted by $\mathscr{C}^{\exp}(X)$ and is defined as the ring of complex-valued functions on $\mathcal{D} \times X$ consisting of finite sums of functions sending (ψ, x, K) with $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_K$, $x \in X_K$, and $K \in \mathrm{Loc}^0$ to

$$f_K(x) \cdot \sum_{y\in Y_{K,x}} \psi\big(h_K(x,y) + \frac{e_K(x,y)}{N}\big),$$

for $f \in \mathscr{C}(X)$ and definable functions $h : Y \to \mathrm{VF}$ and $e : Y \to \mathrm{VF}_N$ for some integer $N > 0$, where Y is a definable subset of $X \times \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathrm{VF}_{n_i}$ for some $\ell \geq 0$ and some $n_i \geq 0$.

Here, by $\psi(h+e/N)$ for some $h \in K$ and some $e \in K_N$ we mean $\psi(h+e'/N)$ for any $e' \in \mathcal{O}_K$ with $\mathrm{res}_N(e') = e$, which is independent from the choice of e' since ψ lies in $\mathcal{D}_K$.

Clearly $\mathscr{C}(X)$ is a subring of $\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{e}}(X)$, which is a subring of $\mathscr{C}^{\exp}(X)$.

For a function g in $\mathscr{C}^{\exp}(X)$ for $K \in \mathrm{Loc}^0$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_K$, we write $g_{K,\psi} : X_K \to \mathbb{R}$ for the restriction of g to $\{\psi\} \times X_K$.

In other words, a collection of functions

$$g = (g_{K,\psi} : X_K \to \mathbb{R})_{K\in\mathrm{Loc}^0,\psi\in\mathcal{D}_K}$$

is motivic exponential if and only if there are $f_i \in \mathscr{C}(X)$, $M \geq 0$, $N_i \geq 0$, $\ell_i \geq 0$, $n_{i,t} \geq 0$, definable subsets Y_i of $X \times \prod_{t=1}^{\ell_i} \mathrm{VF}_{n_{i,t}}$ and definable functions $h_i : Y_i \to \mathrm{VF}$ and $e_i : Y_i \to \mathrm{VF}_{N_i}$, such that

$$g_{K,\psi}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} f_{i,K}(x) \sum_{y\in Y_{i,K,x}} \psi\big(h_{i,K}(x,y) + \frac{e_{i,K}(x,y)}{N_i}\big),$$

4. Integration of motivic (exponential) functions

The proofs in this section rely on the results from Section 5. To readers wishing to understand these proofs in detail, we recommend to come back to the proofs of this section after reading Section 5.

4.1. **Integration.** The functions defined in the previous section have very good behaviour under integration, and, in particular, under Fourier transformation.

Theorem 4.1.1 (Stability under Integration)**.** *Let f be in $\mathscr{C}^{\exp}(X \times \mathrm{VF}^m)$ for some $m \geq 0$ and some definable set X. Then there exists g in $\mathscr{C}^{\exp}(X)$ such that the following holds for all K in Loc^0 and for all $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_K$*

$$g_{K,\psi}(x) = \int_{y \in K^m} f_{K,\psi}(x,y),$$

whenever $x \in X_K$ is such that $y \mapsto f_{K,\psi}(x,y)$ is integrable over K^m. Moreover, if f lies in $\mathscr{C}(X \times \mathrm{VF}^m)$, then g can be taken in $\mathscr{C}(X)$.

Proof. The proof of Theorem 4.4.3 of [5] applies, using the results of Section 5, and Proposition 4.4.1, instead of the corresponding results used in [5]. Let us explain the adaptations to make.

The proof of [5, Theorem 4.4.3] uses [5, Theorem 4.4.6] to reduce to the case where $f_{K,\psi}(x,\cdot)$ is integrable for every x (in the strong sense of loc. cit.), and then uses [9, Theorem 9.4.1] to finish the argument; so, we need analogues of these two results in the new context.

To prove an analogue of [5, Theorem 4.4.6], we use Proposition 4.4.1 instead of [5, Theorem 4.5.8], which, after a suitable reparameterization, allows us to reduce to the case of non-exponential motivic functions. The proof in that case relies on functions on the value group to be piecewise linear (as required by [5, Section 2]). To ensure this, we use Corollary 5.1.7. This introduces reparameterizations. To get rid again of the reparameterizations introduced by Proposition 4.4.1 and Corollary 5.1.7 works similarly as in the proof of [5, Theorem 4.4.6], namely by summing over the VF_n variables (instead of residue field variables).

The analogue of [9, Theorem 9.4.1] is obtained as [9, Theorem 9.4.1] with similar natural adaptations. □

Note that Theorem 4.4.3 of [5] is an improvement of (and is inspired by) Proposition 8.6.1 and Theorem 9.1.5 from [9], namely by relaxing the integrability conditions. The class of function $\mathscr{C}(X)$ first appears in a related form in [17], where also a form of stability under integration is proved.

The Fourier transform of a motivic exponential function L^1 function is motivic exponential. More generally, we have the following.

Corollary 4.1.2 (Stability under Fourier transformation)**.** *Let f be in $\mathscr{C}^{\exp}(X \times \mathrm{VF}^m)$ for some $m \geq 0$ and some definable set X. Then there exists $\mathcal{F}_{/X}(f)$ in*

$\mathscr{C}^{\exp}(X \times \mathrm{VF}^m)$ *such that the following holds for all* K *in* Loc^0*, all* $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_K$*, and all* $(x,z) \in X_K \times K^m$

$$(\mathcal{F}_{/X}(f))_{K,\psi}(x,z) = \int_{y \in K^m} f_{K,\psi}(x,y)\psi(y \cdot z)|dy|,$$

whenever $x \in X_K$ *is such that* $y \mapsto f_{K,\psi}(x,y)$ *is integrable over* K^m*, and where* $y \cdot z = \sum_{i=1}^m y_i z_i$*.*

In fact, several forms of change of variables formulas come for free by properties of the Haar measure on K^m for p-adic fields K, and since partial derivatives of definable functions exist almost everywhere and are again definable when extended by zero where they don't exist. One variant is the following, with our usual affine Haar measure on K^m.

Theorem 4.1.3 (Change of Variables)**.** *Let* f *be in* $\mathscr{C}^{\exp}(X \times \mathrm{VF}^m)$ *for some* $m \geq 0$ *and some definable set* X*. Suppose that*

$$H : Y' \subset X \times \mathrm{VF}^m \to Y \subset X \times \mathrm{VF}^m$$

is a definable bijection over X *for some definable sets* Y' *and* Y*. Then, for each* $K \in \mathrm{Loc}^0$ *and each* $x \in X_K$ *the Jacobian determinant* $\mathrm{Jac}(H_{K,x})$ *of*

$$H_{K,x} : Y'_{K,x} \to Y_{K,x} : y \mapsto H_K(x,y)$$

is well-defined for almost all $y \in Y'_{K,x}$ *(for the Haar measure on* K^m*) and one has for each* $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_K$

$$\int_{Y'_{K,x} \subset K^m} q_K^{-\mathrm{ord}(\mathrm{Jac}(H_{K,x}))(y')} f_{K,\psi}\big(H_K(x,y')\big)|dy'| = \int_{y \in Y_{K,x} \subset K^m} f_{K,\psi}(x,y)|dy|.$$

Moreover, the function $y' \mapsto \mathrm{Jac}(H_{K,x}))(y')$ *is definable, when extended by* 0 *when if it is not well defined at* y'*.*

Proof. The statements about $y' \mapsto \mathrm{Jac}(H_{K,x}))(y')$ are clear. The other statements follow from integration theory on local fields. □

By the cell decomposition theorem 5.2.1 it is possible to develop a dimension theory for definable sets in the classical way. Also a theory of definable volume forms on definable sets, and their associated measures, can be developed naturally and in analogy to the situation with large residue field characteristic.

4.2. **Loci.** The results on loci of [5] can be generalized to the present setting. We give some example statements. For a function $f : A \to \mathbb{C}$, we write $Z(f)$ for the zero locus $\{a \in A \mid f(a) = 0\}$ of f, and similarly for an R-valued function $f : A \to R$ for any ring R. For arbitrary sets $A \subset X \times T$ and $x \in X$, write A_x for the set of $t \in T$ with $(x,t) \in A$. For $g : A \subset X \times T \to B$ a function and for $x \in X$, write $g(x,\cdot)$ for the function $A_x \to B$ sending t to $g(x,t)$.

Let T and X be arbitrary sets, and let $f : X \times T \to \mathbb{C}$ be a function.

Definition 4.2.1. Define the *locus of boundedness of f in X* as the set

$$\mathrm{Bdd}(f, X) := \{x \in X \mid f(x, \cdot) \text{ is bounded on } T\}.$$

Define the *locus of identical vanishing of f in X* as the set

$$\mathrm{Iva}(f, X) := \{x \in X \mid f(x, \cdot) \text{ is identically zero on } T\}.$$

If moreover T is equipped with a complete measure, we define the *locus of integrability of f in X* as the set

$$\mathrm{Int}(f, X) := \{x \in X \mid f(x, \cdot) \text{ is measurable and integrable over } T\}.$$

The following result extends [5, Theorem 4.4.4] and its corollary [5, Corollary 4.4.5] to our more general setting.

Theorem 4.2.2 (Correspondences of loci)**.** *Let f be in $\mathscr{C}^{\exp}(X \times \mathrm{VF}^m)$ for some definable set X and some $m \geq 0$. Then there exist $h_i \in \mathscr{C}^{\exp}(X)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 5$, such that, for all K in Loc^0 and for each $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_K$, the zero locus of $h_{i,K,\psi}$ in X_K equals respectively*

$$\mathrm{Int}(X_K, f_{K,\psi}),$$

$$\mathrm{Bdd}(X_K, f_{K,\psi}),$$

$$\mathrm{Iva}(X_K, f_{K,\psi}),$$

$$\{x \in X_K \mid f_{K,\psi}(x, \cdot) \textit{ is locally integrable on } K^m\},$$

$$\{x \in X_K \mid f_{K,\psi}(x, \cdot) \textit{ is locally bounded on } K^m\}.$$

for $i = 1$, up to 5 respectively.

Proof. The proof of [5, Theorem 4.4.4] applies, with similar adaptations as how the proof of [5, Theorem 4.4.6] is adapted above to get Theorem 4.1.1. (For the local results, the proof of [5, Corollary 4.4.5] applies.) Let us explain how this works. One difference with [5] is that the residue field sort is replaced by the VF_n for $n > 0$. In particular, summation and reparameterization over the residue field is replaced by summation and reparameterization over the rings VF_n, as in Definition 5.1.6. This is completely harmless. A second difference is that, in order to exploit the weak orthogonality result of Theorem 5.1.4 and its corollaries like Corollary 5.1.7 about piecewise linearity in the value group variables, extra reparameterizations as in Definition 5.1.6 may be needed, as is visible in that corollary. Previously, for results like piecewise linearity in the value group variables, only finite partitioning into definable parts was needed, and not reparameterizations. These extra reparameterizations combine well with the reparameterizations that were already needed and used in [5] in order to control several other aspects, e.g. to control how the valued field variables and other variables interact, and, to reduce to settings without valued field variables. □

One key property that remains preserved when omitting the bound on ramification, is that several kinds of bad behavior or bad loci in the valued field are typically contained in a small definable set (for example of lower dimension). Moreover, there is typically a single proper, Zariski closed subset which is given independently of the residual characteristic and which captures bad loci uniformly in the local field.

The following theorem is an example result that 'bad loci' are uniform and have a geometrical nature. Note that it in particular applies to the functions h_i from Theorem 4.2.2.

Theorem 4.2.3. *Let f be a function in $\mathscr{C}^{\exp}(\mathrm{VF}^n)$. Then, there is a proper Zariski closed subset C of $\mathbb{A}^n_{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that the locus of non-local-constancy of $f_{K,\psi}$ is contained in $C(K)$ for each K in Loc^0 and each ψ in $\mathcal{D}_K$. More precisely, for each K in Loc^0 and each ψ in $\mathcal{D}_K$, the set*

$$\{x \in K^n \mid f_{K,\psi} \text{ is not locally constant around } x\}$$

is contained in $C(K)$, the set of K-rational points on C.

Proof. By the quantifier elimination 5.1.2, all definable functions g_i appearing in the build up of f according to the definition of $\mathscr{C}^{\exp}$, as well as their multiplicative inverses $1/g_i$ extended by zero on $g_i = 0$, have the property that there is a proper Zariski closed subset C_i of $\mathbb{A}^n_{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that the locus of non-continuity of g_{iK} and of $1/g_{iK}$ is contained in $C_i(K)$ for each K in Loc^0. Now the theorem follows from the definition of $\mathscr{C}^{\exp}$. □

4.3. **Local zeta functions.** Local zeta functions and their poles have strong uniformity properties when the p-adic field varies. The following result is an example of how the present framework can describe the uniform behaviour of local zeta functions, the novelty being the combination of allowing small primes and not bounding the degree of ramification for small primes. A proof using resolution of singularities and the more basic Theorem 5.1.1 is also thinkable; here we use Theorem 5.1.2 and the cascade of results that is induced.

Theorem 4.3.1 (Uniform rationality)**.** *Let f be a* VG*-valued definable function on a definable set $X \subset \mathrm{VF}^n$. Suppose that for $s \gg 0$*

$$Z_K(s) := \int_{x \in X_K \subset \mathcal{O}_K^n} q_K^{s f_K(x)} |dx|$$

is finite for each $K \in \mathrm{Loc}^0$. Then there is a finite collection of pairs of integers (a_i, b_i) with $b_i \neq 0$, for $i = 1, \ldots, N$ for some N, such that for any K in Loc^0

$$Z_K(s) \prod_{i=1}^{N} (1 - q_K^{a_i + b_i s})$$

is a polynomial in q_K^{-s}.

Proof. Consider the function H sending $K \in \mathrm{Loc}^0$ and m in $\mathbb{Z}$ to

$$H_K(m) = \int_{x \in A_{m,K}} |dx|$$

where A_m is the definable subset of X where $\operatorname{ord} f = m$. Then H lies in $\mathscr{C}(\mathrm{VG})$ by Theorem 4.1.1. Now the theorem follows from Corollary 5.1.7 (piecewise linearity of definable functions on VG), the definition of $\mathscr{C}(\mathrm{VG})$, and a basic argument on the summation of geometric power series and their derivatives, see e.g. [13] or [8]. As explained in the proofs of Theorems 4.1.1 and 4.2.2, reparameterizations over VF_n may be used (instead of previously only over the residue field). Here, this affects the numerator only. Indeed, the polynomial in q_K^{-s} given by the theorem may have coefficients that depend on K_n in the sense that they come from counting the number of elements (over the residue rings K_n of K) of a definable subset of the sorts VF_n. □

4.4. **A result behind bounds, integrability, and loci.** The following generalizes the key technical Proposition 4.5.8 of [5]. It also holds in the variant with several functions f_i instead of just f, as in [5]. It lies behind the results of Section 4.1 and 4.2. Roughly, the Proposition 4.4.1 says that if $|f_{K,\psi}|_{\mathbb{C}}$ is small, then f is the sum of small terms of a very specific form. More precisely, if f cannot be written as a sum of small terms as in Proposition 4.4.1(1), then $|f_{K,\psi}|_{\mathbb{C}}$ has to be large on a relatively large set, namely, on the set $W_{x,r}$.

Proposition 4.4.1. *Let $m \geq 0$ be an integer, let X and $U \subset X \times \mathrm{VF}^m$ be definable, and let f be in $\mathscr{C}^{\exp}(U)$. Write x for variables running over X and y for variables running over VF^m. Then there exist integers $N \geq 1$, $d \geq 0$, $D \geq 0$, $r_i \geq 0$, $t \geq 0$, a definable surjection $\varphi : U \to V \subset X \times \prod_{i=1}^{D} \mathrm{VF}_{r_i} \times \mathrm{VG}^t$ over X, definable functions $h_i : U \to \mathrm{VF}$, and functions G_i in $\mathscr{C}^{\exp}(V)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, N$, such that the following conditions hold for each $K \in \mathrm{Loc}^0$ and each $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_K$.*

1) *One has*

$$f_{K,\psi}(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} G_{i,K,\psi}(\varphi_K(x,y))\psi_K(h_{i,K}(x,y));$$

2) *if one sets, for $(x,r) \in V_K$,*

$$U_{x,r} := \{y \in U_{K,x} \mid \varphi_K(x,y) = (x,r)\}$$

and

$$W_{x,r} := \{y \in U_{x,r} \mid \sup_i |G_{i,K}(x,r)|_{\mathbb{C}} \leq |f_K(x,y)|_{\mathbb{C}}\},$$

then

$$\mathrm{Vol}(U_{x,r}) \leq q_K^d \cdot \mathrm{Vol}(W_{x,r}) < +\infty,$$

where the volume Vol *is taken with respect to the Haar measure on K^m.*

Proof. The result follows as Proposition 4.5.8 of [5] using Theorem 5.2.1 instead of [5, Theorem 4.5.3], using the same kind of natural adaptations as described in the proof of Theorem 4.2.2. □

4.5. **Expansions.** All results, statements and definitions of Section 4 except Theorem 4.2.3 hold when one consequently replaces the meaning of definable by subanalytic, that is, one replaces $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{gDP}}$ by an enrichment obtained by adding some analytic structure as in [6] to $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{gDP}}$. (Theorem 4.2.3 is about Zariski closed sets and becomes different and more technical in the subanalytic case, where one has to use systems of power series that can be interpreted as converging analytic functions on $\mathcal{O}_K^n$ when K in Loc^0 as e.g. in [6].) Similarly, one can enrich $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{gDP}}$ by putting additional structure on the residue rings VF_n and develop the results of Section 4 for such an expansion. Also, one can add constants for a ring of integers $\mathcal{O}$ of a number field to $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{gDP}}$ in the sort VF and work uniformly in all finite field extensions of completions of the fraction field of $\mathcal{O}$. The reason for these claims is that the results of Section 5 can easily be adapted to such enrichments of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{gDP}}$.

5. Quantifier elimination and related results

5.1. **Quantifier elimination and piecewise linearity.** This section contains the key new technical results. All other results are derived from the results in this section with techniques that are treated elsewhere in great detail and with less details in this paper.

The main aspect in which the results here are different than the ones for large residue field characteristic, is that one needs reparameterizations (as in Definition 5.1.6) to get things working in the value group, while previously only finite partitions into definable parts were needed to exploit properties of the value group. Reparameterizations were already needed in the more classical case from Pas [25] on, to control information from the valued field (like for example cell decomposition in [25]). So, in some sense, here we just need to reparameterize more often, but not in essentially different ways. This is the reason why many results go through as before, see e.g. the proof of Theorem 4.2.2 above.

Let us write $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Pres}}$ for the Presburger language which consists of the symbols $+$, $\leq$, 0, 1, and for each $d = 2, 3, 4, \ldots$, a symbol $\equiv_d$ to denote the binary relation $x \equiv y \bmod d$, which means

$$(\exists z) dz = x - y,$$

with dz standing for $\sum_{i=1}^{d} z$. The element 1 is interpreted in an ordered abelian group as the minimal positive element if there is such an element, and, as 0 otherwise. Note that with this meaning, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Pres}}$ is a definitional expansion of the language of ordered abelian groups, and it makes sense in any ordered abelian group.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{L}'_{\mathrm{gDP}}$ the (definitional) expansion of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{gDP}}$ given by putting the language $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Pres}}$ on the value group (it suffices to add the symbols 1 and $\equiv_d$ since the other symbols are already there), relation symbols A_n for subsets of VF_n for each $n > 0$, and function symbols $\mathrm{res}_n : \mathrm{VF} \to \mathrm{VF}_n$, $\mathrm{res}_{mn} : \mathrm{VF}_m \to \mathrm{VF}_n$, and $\overline{\mathrm{cross}}_n : \mathrm{VG}^{(\infty)} \to \mathrm{VF}_n$.

On a $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{gDP}}$-structure L, the maps res_n and res_{mn} are as in Section 2.1, the set $A_n(L)$ consists of the image under res_n of the elements in $\mathcal{O}_L$. with $\mathrm{ac}_n(x) = 1$, and, for any $n > 0$, the map $\overline{\mathrm{cross}}_n : \Gamma_L^{(\infty)} \to L_n$ sends $\gamma \in \Gamma_L$ to $\mathrm{res}_n(x)$ for any $x \in L$ with $\mathrm{ac}_n(x) = 1$ and $\mathrm{ord}(x) = \gamma$, and sends $+\infty$ to 0.

The following result by S. Rideau in [27] is obtained in loc. cit. from quantifier elimination in a closely related language (with so-called leading term structures or rv-structure). Alternatively, one can note that the proofs of Pas [26] or of Flenner [19] (both based on Cohen-Denef [11], [14]) can be adapted to yield direct proofs of the following quantifier elimination result.

Theorem 5.1.1 ([27])**.** *The theory* gDP *eliminates valued field quantifiers in the language* $\mathcal{L}'_{\mathrm{gDP}}$*, even resplendently to the sorts* VF_n *and* VG*.*

For more context on 'resplendent quantifier elimination' we refer to [27] but let us recall that it means in Theorem 5.1.1 that for any expansion $\mathcal{L}$ of $\mathcal{L}'_{\mathrm{gDP}}$ which adds new language symbols only involving the sorts VF_n and VG, the expansion $\mathcal{L}$ still eliminates valued field quantifiers. Rideau uses in [27] a slightly different language than $\mathcal{L}'_{\mathrm{gDP}}$, but with the same definable sets (and he also studies variants of this language with other definable sets).

We give an addendum to Theorem 5.1.1 to eliminate also VG-quantifiers for two kinds of value groups.

Write PRES for the Presburger theory, namely, the $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Pres}}$-theory of $\mathbb{Z}$. Write DOAG for the theory of divisible ordered abelian groups. By $\mathrm{gDP} \cup \mathrm{PRES}$ we denote the theory gDP together with PRES in the value group sort and likewise for the theory $\mathrm{gDP} \cup \mathrm{DOAG}$.

Theorem 5.1.2. *The theories* gDP∪PRES *and* gDP∪DOAG *eliminate valued field and value group quantifiers in the language* $\mathcal{L}'_{\mathrm{gDP}}$*.*

Remark 5.1.3. Both the theories from Theorem 5.1.2 even resplendently eliminate valued field and value group quantifiers in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{gDP}}$, relatively to the sorts VF_n, with terminology from [27]. An analytic structure from [6] can also be joined to the language, with similar results. Here resplendent means that new language symbols can be introduced only involving the sorts VF_n.

Proof of Theorem 5.1.2. We prove the result for $\mathrm{gDP} \cup \mathrm{PRES}$. The proof for $\mathrm{gDP} \cup \mathrm{DOAG}$ is similar. Note that the constants $\mathrm{ord}(n)$ for integers $n > 0$ are quantifier free $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{gDP}}$-definable.

Let y be a single variable running over VG, z a tuple running of variables running over VG, x a tuple of variables running over VF, and ξ a tuple of variables each of which runs over a residue ring. Further, let p_i for $i = 1, \ldots, k$

be polynomials in x with integer coefficients, write x' for

$$(\operatorname{ord} p_1(x), \dots, \operatorname{ord} p_k(x))$$

and write x'' for

$$(\mathrm{ac}_{N_i}(p_i(x))_{i=1}^k \text{ for some } N_i \geq 0.$$

By Theorem 5.1.1 it is enough to eliminate $\exists y$ from the formula $\exists y \varphi(\xi, x, y, z)$ with $\varphi(\xi, x, y, z)$ being

$$\theta(x', y, z) \wedge \phi\left(\xi, x'', \overline{\mathrm{cross}}_{\bar{n}}(t(x', y, z))\right), \tag{5.1.1}$$

where $\overline{\mathrm{cross}}_{\bar{n}}(t(x', y, z))$ stands for

$$\overline{\mathrm{cross}}_{n_1}(t_1(x', y, z)), \dots, \overline{\mathrm{cross}}_{n_k}(t_k(x', y, z))$$

for some $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Pres}}$-terms t_i, some $k \geq 0$, some $n_i \geq 0$, and where θ is a quantifier free $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Pres}}$-formula and ϕ is a formula on the residue ring sorts (possibly also involving quantifiers over residue ring sorts).

By taking a least common multiple and rewriting somewhat, we may suppose that there is n such that $n_i = n = N_i$ for all i.

Our next goal is to replace $\phi(\xi, x'', \overline{\mathrm{cross}}_n(\cdot))$ by a bounded formula. By a bounded formula, we mean a formula $\chi(\xi, x'', u_1, \dots, u_m)$, where the u_i are VG-variables and such that there exists an integer d such that χ implies

$$|u_i| \leq d \cdot \operatorname{ord} n$$

for every i, where $|u_i|$ denotes the absolute value.

We can always split up our formula according to disjunctions, which allows us to subdivide according to certain conditions. Also, if one of the t_i always takes values outside $[0, \operatorname{ord}(n)[$, then we can replace $\overline{\mathrm{cross}}_n(t_i)$ by 0. So, up to working piecewise, we may suppose that $\varphi(\xi, x, y, z)$ is of the form

$$\theta(x', y, z) \wedge \chi(\xi, x'', t_1(x', y, z), \dots, t_k(x', y, z))$$

for a bounded χ.

In the next steps of the proof, we will do various modifications which might introduce new quantifiers in χ; the only thing which really matters is that χ stays bounded (though the bound might change). For example, we can replace a term t_i by at_i for some non-zero integer a and accordingly let χ divide its ith VG-variable by a. It allows us to assume that each term t_i is either independent of y, or it is of the form

$$t_i(x', y, z) = ay + t_i'(x', z)$$

for some integer a not depending on i.

If y does not appear in any of the t_i, then the elimination follows from quantifier elimination in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Pres}}$, applied to θ, so we assume that y appears in t_1. Then by modifying ϕ we may assume that t_1 is equal to y: We introduce a new variable y' for $t_1(x', y, z)$, we replace $\exists y$ by $\exists y'$, we add the condition to θ that y' lies in the image $t_1(x', \mathrm{VG}, z)$ and we do substitutions in the terms t_i so that they use y' instead of y.

Since now χ implies $|y| \leq d \operatorname{ord} n$ (for some integer d), we may assume that θ also implies $y \leq d \operatorname{ord} n$. Thus by working piecewise, we may by cell decomposition for Presburger sets [3] suppose that $\theta(x', y, z)$ is of the form

$$\theta_0(x', z) \ \wedge \ \alpha(x', z) \leq ay \leq \beta(x', z) \ \wedge \ y \equiv c \bmod \ell \tag{5.1.2}$$

where θ_0 is a quantifier free $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Pres}}$-formula, α and β are $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Pres}}$-terms and a, c, ℓ are positive integers and where θ_0 implies $|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq ad \operatorname{ord} n$.

Then our entire formula $\exists y \varphi(\xi, x, y, z)$ can be written as

$$\theta_0(x', z) \ \wedge \ \exists y : \chi'(\xi, x'', t_1'(x', z), \ldots, t_k'(x', z), \alpha(x', z), \beta(x', z), y),$$

for some formula χ' and some $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Pres}}$-terms t_i', α, β. We may assume that χ' is bounded: we already have bounds on $|y|$, $|\alpha|$ and $|\beta|$, and the bounds on $|y|$ and $|t_i|$ together yield a bound on $|t_i'|$.

By partitioning the domains of the variables of χ' and translating, we may reduce to the case where $\exists y \varphi(\xi, x, y, z)$ is of the form

$$\theta_0(x', z) \ \wedge \ \exists y : \chi'(\xi, x'', \bar{u}(x', z), y)$$

for an $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Pres}}$-formula θ_0, a tuple $\bar{u}$ consisting of $k+2$ $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Pres}}$-terms and a formula χ' which implies that each of its VG-variables lies in the interval $[0, \operatorname{ord} n[$. Such a formula χ' is equivalent to a formula of the form

$$\chi''(\xi, x'', \overline{\mathrm{cross}}(\bar{u}(x', z)), \overline{\mathrm{cross}}(y)) \wedge 0 \leq u_1, \ldots, u_{k+2}, y < \operatorname{ord} n,$$

where χ'' is a VG_n-formula; this follows using quantifier elimination in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Pres}}$ and the language $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Pres}}$ can also be expressed within VG_n. Now y can be replaced by a VG_n-variable. □

From the above quantifier elimination, one obtains the following weak form of orthogonality; the argument is the same as for the usual proof of orthogonality of the residue field and the value group using valued field quantifier elimination.

Theorem 5.1.4. *Any $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{gDP}}$-formula in free variables x, ξ, z in the sorts* VF, VF_n, *resp.* VG, *is* $\mathrm{gDP} \cup \mathrm{PRES}$*-equivalent, to a finite disjunction of formulas of the form*

$$\Theta\big(z, (\operatorname{ord} p_i(x))_i\big) \wedge \Phi\big(\xi, (\mathrm{ac}_{n_i}(p_i(x)))_i, (\overline{\mathrm{cross}}_{m_j}(t_j((\operatorname{ord} p_i(x))_i, z)))_j\big), \tag{5.1.3}$$

where Φ is a formula on the VF_n-sorts, Θ a quantifier free $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Pres}}$-formula, $k, \ell, n_i, m_j \geq 0$, the t_j for $j = 1, \ldots, k$ are $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Pres}}$-terms, and the p_i for $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$ are polynomials in x. The same statement holds for $\mathrm{gDP} \cup \mathrm{DOAG}$ *instead of* $\mathrm{gDP} \cup \mathrm{PRES}$.

Remark 5.1.5. For both the theories from Theorem 5.1.4 a similar statement holds in a resplendent form, relatively to the sorts VF_n, namely with an expansion $\mathcal{L}'_{\mathrm{gDP}}$ of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{gDP}}$-formula which only enriches the sorts VF_n.

From now on, and until the end of the paper, we work with the theory $\mathcal{T}$ being gDP $\cup$ PRES. Note that this theory is not complete. By a $\mathcal{T}$-definable set associated to a $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{gDP}}$-formula φ we mean (as is common) the information consisting of $\varphi(\mathcal{K})$ for every model $\mathcal{K}$ of $\mathcal{T}$.

Definition 5.1.6. By a reparameterization of a $\mathcal{T}$-definable set X is meant a $\mathcal{T}$-definable bijection $\sigma : X \to X_{\mathrm{par}} \subset \prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathrm{VF}_{n_i} \times X$ over X onto a set often denoted by X_{par} for some n_i and some k. For a $\mathcal{T}$-definable function f on X, we write f_{par} for the composition of f with σ^{-1}.

The next corollary of Theorem 5.1.2 says that, up to reparameterization, $\mathcal{T}$-definable functions in VG-variables are piecewise linear.

Corollary 5.1.7. *Let Y and $X \subset Y \times \mathrm{VG}^m$ be $\mathcal{T}$-definable, and let*

$$f : X \to \mathrm{VG}$$

be a $\mathcal{T}$-definable function. Then there exists a finite partition of X into parts A, and for each part A a reparameterization

$$\sigma : A \to A_{\mathrm{par}} \subset \mathrm{VF}_n^n \times A$$

such that $f_{\mathrm{par}} = f \circ \sigma^{-1}$ is linear over $\mathrm{VF}_n^n \times Y$ in the following sense. It can be written in the form

$$f_{\mathrm{par}}(a, z_1, \dots z_m) = \gamma(a) + r_0 + z_1 r_1 + \cdots + z_m r_m,$$

where $a \in \mathrm{VF}_n^n \times Y$, $z_i \in \mathrm{VG}$, r_i are rational numbers, and γ is a definable function into VG.

Proof. Let the graph of f be given by a $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{gDP}}$-formula $\varphi(y, z, v)$ with $y \in Y$, $z \in \mathrm{VG}^m$ and $v \in \mathrm{VG}$. By Theorem 5.1.4, we may suppose that φ is of a specific form. Let $t_i(s(y), z, v)$ be the $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Pres}}$-terms such that the $\overline{\mathrm{cross}}_{n_i}(t_i(s(y), z, v))$ appear in φ, with $s(y)$ a VG-valued term in the y variables. We may suppose that all the n_i are the same and we write n to denote this common value. Do a reparameterization σ with new variables ξ_i with $\xi_i = \overline{\mathrm{cross}}_n(t_i(s(y), z, v))$. Now the corollary follows from syntax, namely, from analyzing the specific form of φ in combination with the chosen map σ. □

Remark 5.1.8. Corollary 5.1.7 also holds for $\mathcal{T} = \mathrm{gDP} \cup \mathrm{DOAG}$ instead of for $\mathcal{T} = \mathrm{gDP} \cup \mathrm{PRES}$. Also, again a similar statement holds in a resplendent form, relatively to the sorts VF_n.

5.2. **Cell decomposition and the Jacobian property.** Here we recall and adapt some terminology regarding cells and the Jacobian property. Theorem 5.2.1 follows directly from results of [6], without using the above new quantifier elimination.

Let Y be a $\mathcal{T}$-definable set. The graph of a $\mathcal{T}$-definable function $Y \to \mathrm{VF}$ is called a presented 0-cell over Y. A presented 1-cell over Y is a $\mathcal{T}$-definable set $X \subset Y \times \mathrm{VF}$ of the form

$$\{(y, t) \mid y \in Y,\ t \in \mathrm{VF}, \mathrm{ord}(t - c(y)) \in G_y,\ \mathrm{ac}_n(t - c(y)) = \xi(y)\}$$

for some $\mathcal{T}$-definable functions $c : Y \to \mathrm{VF}$, $\xi : Y \to \mathrm{VF}_n^\times$, a nonempty definable set $G \subset Y \times \mathrm{VG}$ and $G_y \subset \mathrm{VG}$ its fiber over $y \in Y$. Here, $\mathrm{VF}_n^\times$ denotes the units in the ring VF_n.

The cell decomposition below says that, after reparameterization, every definable set is a finite union of presented cells.

Let $n \geq 0$ be an integer. Say that a $\mathcal{T}$-definable function $f : X \subset Y \times \mathrm{VF} \to \mathrm{VF}$ with X a cell over Y has the n-Jacobian property over Y if, for each $y \in Y$, $f(y, \cdot)$ is injective on X_y and for each ball B contained in X_y, one has that $f(y, \cdot)$ has a derivative $f'(y, \cdot)$ of constant valuation and constant ac_n on B, $f(y, \cdot)$ maps B onto a ball and, for all $x_1, x_2 \in B$ one has

$$\mathrm{ord}\big(f(y, x_1) - f(y, x_2)\big) = \mathrm{ord}\big(f'(y, \cdot)_{|x_1}(x_1 - x_2)\big)$$

and

$$\mathrm{ac}_n\big(f(y, x_1) - f(y, x_2)\big) = \mathrm{ac}_n\big(f'(y, \cdot)_{|x_1}(x_1 - x_2)\big).$$

Theorem 5.2.1 (Cell decomposition and Jacobian property)**.** *Let Y and $X \subset Y \times \mathrm{VF}$ be $\mathcal{T}$-definable, and let $f_i : X \to \mathrm{VF}$ be $\mathcal{T}$-definable functions for $i = 1, \ldots, N$. Moreover, let an integer $n \geq 1$ be given. Then there exist $m \geq 0$ and a finite partition of X into parts A, and for each part a reparameterization*

$$\sigma : A \to A_{\mathrm{par}} \subset \mathrm{VF}_m^m \times A$$

onto a presented cell A_{par} such that each $f_{i,\mathrm{par}}$ has the n-Jacobian property over $\mathrm{VF}_m^m \times Y$.

Proof. This follows from the resplendent forms of the corresponding results relative to RV_n-sorts of Section 6 of [6], namely Theorem 6.3.7 and Remark 6.3.16 which use some terminology from [7]. The resplendency is used to put extra structure on $\mathrm{RV}_n \setminus \{0\}$ so that it naturally becomes $\mathrm{VF}_n^\times \times \mathrm{VG}$. □

References

1. Avraham Aizenbud and Vladimir Drinfeld, *The wave front set of the fourier transform of algebraic measures*, Israel Journal of Mathematics **207** (2015), no. 2, 527–580 (English).
2. Ş. Basarab, *Relative elimination of quantifiers for Henselian valued fields*, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic **53** (1991), no. 1, 51–74.
3. R. Cluckers, *Presburger sets and p-minimal fields*, J. of Symbolic Logic **68** (2003), 153–162,
4. R. Cluckers and J. Denef, *Orbital integrals for linear groups*, Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu **7** (2008), no. 2, 269–289,
5. R. Cluckers, J. Gordon, and I. Halupczok, *Integrability of oscillatory functions on local fields: transfer principles*, Duke Mathematical Journal **163** (2014), no. 8, 1549–1600.
6. R. Cluckers and L. Lipshitz, *Fields with analytic structure*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **13** (2011), 1147–1223,
7. R. Cluckers and F. Loeser, *b-minimality*, J. Math. Log. **7** (2007), no. 2, 195–227,
8. ———, *Constructible motivic functions and motivic integration*, Inventiones Mathematicae **173** (2008), no. 1, 23–121.
9. ———, *Constructible exponential functions, motivic Fourier transform and transfer principle*, Annals of Mathematics **171** (2010), 1011–1065.

10. ———, *Motivic integration in all residue field characteristics for Henselian discretely valued fields of characteristic zero*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **701** (2015), 1–31.
11. P. J. Cohen, *Decision procedures for real and p-adic fields*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **22** (1969), 131–151.
12. J. Denef, *The rationality of the Poincaré series associated to the p-adic points on a variety*, Inventiones Mathematicae **77** (1984), 1–23.
13. ———, *On the evaluation of certain p-adic integrals*, Théorie des nombres, Sémin. Delange-Pisot-Poitou 1983–84, vol. 59, 1985, pp. 25–47.
14. ———, *p-adic semialgebraic sets and cell decomposition*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik **369** (1986), 154–166.
15. ———, *On the degree of Igusa's local zeta function*, American Journal of Mathematics **109** (1987), 991–1008.
16. ———, *Report on Igusa's local zeta function*, Séminaire Bourbaki **Vol. 1990/91, Exp. No.730-744** (1991), 359–386, Astérisque 201-203, http://wis.kuleuven.be/algebra/denef.html♯D2.
17. ———, *Arithmetic and geometric applications of quantifier elimination for valued fields*, MSRI Publications, vol. 39, pp. 173–198, Cambridge University Press, 2000.
18. J. Denef and F. Loeser, *Definable sets, motives and p-adic integrals*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society **14** (2001), no. 2, 429–469.
19. J. Flenner, *Relative decidability and definability in henselian valued fields*, J. Symbolic Logic **76** (2011), no. 4, 1240–1260.
20. E. Hrushovski and D. Kazhdan, *Integration in valued fields*, Algebraic geometry and number theory, Progr. Math., vol. 253, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2006, pp. 261–405.
21. J. Igusa, *An introduction to the theory of local zeta functions*, Studies in advanced mathematics, AMS, 2000.
22. François Loeser and Julien Sebag, *Motivic integration on smooth rigid varieties and invariants of degenerations*, Duke Math. J. **119** (2003), no. 2, 315–344. MR 1997948 (2004g:14026)
23. J. Nicaise and J. Sebag, *Invariant de serre et fibre de milnor analytique. (french)*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. **341** (2005), no. 1, 21–24.
24. Johannes Nicaise and Julien Sebag, *Motivic Serre invariants, ramification, and the analytic Milnor fiber*, Invent. Math. **168** (2007), no. 1, 133–173. MR 2285749 (2009c:14040)
25. J. Pas, *Uniform p-adic cell decomposition and local zeta functions*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik **399** (1989), 137–172.
26. ———, *Cell decomposition and local zeta functions in a tower of unramified extensions of a p-adic field*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **60** (1990), no. 1, 37–67.
27. S. Rideau, *Some properties of analytic difference fields*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1401.1765.
28. Julien Sebag, *Intégration motivique sur les schémas formels*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **132** (2004), no. 1, 1–54. MR 2075915 (2005e:14017)

Université de Lille, Laboratoire Painlevé, CNRS - UMR 8524, Cité Scientifique, 59655 Villeneuve d'Ascq Cedex, France, and, KU Leuven, Department of Mathematics, Celestijnenlaan 200B, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium,
E-mail address: Raf.Cluckers@math.univ-lille1.fr
URL: http://math.univ-lille1.fr/∼cluckers

School of Mathematics, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT – UK
E-mail address: math@karimmi.de
URL: http://www.immi.karimmi.de/en.math.html